Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,012	WANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ISAAC T. TECKLU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David P. Mcabee, Reg. No. 58,104</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 August 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C 103		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 11, 21 and 38		
Prior art documents discussed: Chamdani (US 2004/0073906) and Hoogerbrugge et al. (US 6,615,333)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted Mr. Mcabee to propose an amendment to have the case in condition for allowance. The Examiner proposed to incorporate the feature of claim 4 and 38 to all the independent claims except claim 26. Mr. Mcabee concurred with Examiner's proposal.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Isaac T Tecklu/		
Examiner, Art Unit 2192	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)